

Course Evaluation - Section Detail

Report Sections

- Report Overview
- Response Data
- Survey Description
- Results By Question
- Large Answer Set Results
- Results by Tag
- Open-Ended Questions

Report Overview


Deployment:	School of Science and Engineering Fall 2011	Section:	[CHEM-3215-42FA11-CE-DONAHUE] INORGANIC CHEMISTRY LAB
Course:	[CHEM-3215] INORGANIC CHEMISTRY LAB	Instructor:	DONAHUE, JAMES

Response Data

Deployment Response Information		Section Response Information	
Total Invited:	8736	Total Invited:	7
Total Respondents:	4205	Total Respondents:	3
Response Rate:	48.13%	Response Rate:	42.86%


Survey Description

Question Type	Number of Questions	Percent of Responses			
		Section	Course	1	2
Open Entry	3	56%	42%	47%	49%
Likert Scale	9	100%	100%	100%	100%

 Departments/Schools

- 1 - Chemistry
- 2 - School of Science & Engineering

Course Evaluation - Section Detail


 Departments/
Schools

1 - Chemistry
 2 - School of Science & Engineering

Results By Question

Questions	Count Percent						Average Points Standard Deviation			
	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Not Applicable	Section	Course	1	2
1. I have gained a good understanding of this subject matter.	1 33%	1 33%	0 0%	1 33%	0 0%	0 0%	3.67 1.53	4.00 0.93	3.49 1.09	3.88 1.07
2. The instructor was fair in grading me.	2 67%	1 33%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	4.67 0.58	4.38 0.52	3.62 1.11	4.03 1.06
3. The topics in this course are organized in a coherent manner.	1 33%	1 33%	0 0%	1 33%	0 0%	0 0%	3.67 1.53	3.63 1.06	3.64 1.05	3.98 1.09
4. The instructor is available at office hours or by appointment for help or discussion.	2 67%	0 0%	1 33%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	4.33 1.15	4.38 0.74	3.90 0.99	4.14 0.92
5. The instructor treats all students with respect.	2 67%	1 33%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	4.67 0.58	4.50 0.53	4.18 0.90	4.39 0.84
6. This course has reinforced or assisted me in improving my writing and/or oral skills.	1 33%	2 67%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	4.33 0.58	4.25 0.46	3.16 1.11	3.31 1.15
Questions	Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor		Section	Course	1	2
7. Overall, how would you rate this course?	2 67%	0 0%	1 33%	0 0%	0 0%		4.33 1.15	4.38 0.74	3.35 1.14	3.77 1.16
8. Overall, how would you rate this instructor?	2 67%	1 33%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%		4.67 0.58	4.63 0.52	3.49 1.19	3.91 1.22
Questions	0-3	3-6	6-9	9-12	12-15	more than 15	Section	Course	1	2
9. How many hours each week do you spend on this course, not including class time, or regularly scheduled laboratory or performance activity time?	0 0%	0 0%	2 67%	1 33%	0 0%	0 0%				

Course Evaluation - Section Detail

 Departments/
Schools

1 - Chemistry
 2 - School of Science &
 Engineering

Results By Tag

Tag Values	Count						Average						
	Percent		Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Not Applicable	Section	Course	1	2	3
<i>Associated Questions</i>													
Course													
1. I have gained a good understanding of this subject matter.	3	4	0	2	0	0	3.89	3.96	3.47	3.78	3.78		
3. The topics in this course are organized in a coherent manner.	33%	44%	0%	22%	0%	0%	1.17	0.86	1.10	1.13	1.13		
6. This course has reinforced or assisted me in improving my writing and/or oral skills.													
Instructor													
2. The instructor was fair in grading me.	6	2	1	0	0	0	4.56	4.42	3.90	4.19	4.19		
4. The instructor is available at office hours or by appointment for help or discussion.	67%	22%	11%	0%	0%	0%	0.73	0.58	1.03	0.96	0.96		
5. The instructor treats all students with respect.													
Student Interest													
1. I have gained a good understanding of this subject matter.	8	4	1	2	0	0	4.20	4.18	3.76	4.08	4.08		
2. The instructor was fair in grading me.	53%	27%	7%	13%	0%	0%	1.08	0.81	1.06	1.02	1.02		
3. The topics in this course are organized in a coherent manner.													
4. The instructor is available at office hours or by appointment for help or discussion.													
5. The instructor treats all students with respect.													
Tag Values													
<i>Associated Questions</i>													
Course													
7. Overall, how would you rate this course?	2	0	1	0	0		4.33	4.38	3.35	3.77	3.77		
	67%	0%	33%	0%	0%		1.15	0.74	1.14	1.16	1.16		
Instructor													
8. Overall, how would you rate this instructor?	2	1	0	0	0		4.67	4.63	3.49	3.91	3.91		
	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%		0.58	0.52	1.19	1.22	1.22		
Student Interest													
7. Overall, how would you rate this course?	4	1	1	0	0		4.50	4.50	3.42	3.84	3.84		
	67%	17%	17%	0%	0%		0.84	0.63	1.17	1.20	1.19		
8. Overall, how would you rate this instructor?													
Tag Values													
<i>Associated Questions</i>						more than							
	0-3	3-6	6-9	9-12	12-15	15							
Student Interest													
9. How many hours each week do you spend on this course, not including class time, or regularly scheduled laboratory or	0	0	2	1	0	0							
	0%	0%	67%	33%	0%	0%							

Course Evaluation - Section Detail

Tag Values	Count Percent						Average Points Standard Deviation				
	0-3	3-6	6-9	9-12	12-15	more than 15	Section	Course	1	2	3
<i>Associated Questions</i> <i>performance activity time?</i>											

Open-Ended Questions

Respondent Question Text

10. What were the strongest aspects of this course or instructor?

345559 The person overseeing the lab, sky, was extremely helpful. He always responded to emails quickly and helped clear up a lot of misconceptions.

345568 He is so nice. I have yet to find another professor since freshman year that is as kind/patient as he is.

11. What were the weakest aspects of this course or instructor?

345559 The labs were in a terrible order and covered things we hadn't talked about in class yet. The format for lab reports was somewhat confusing and little guidance was offered.

345568 I don't find the pre-lab lecture particularly useful. Sometimes, the experiments seem to neither complement nor supplement the lecture.

12. Please make any additional comments you wish. For example, you may choose to elaborate on one or more of your previous responses.

345568 He's pretty good. Would take a 3rd time.