

Course Evaluation - Section Detail

- Report Sections
- Report Overview
 - Response Data
 - Survey Description
 - Results By Question
 - Large Answer Set Results
 - Results by Tag
 - Open-Ended Questions

Report Overview


Deployment:	School of Science and Engineering Fall 2010	Section:	[CHEM-3230-41FA10-CE-DONAHUE] INORGANIC CHEMISTRY LAB
Course:	[CHEM-3230] INORGANIC CHEMISTRY LAB	Instructor:	DONAHUE, JAMES

Response Data


Deployment Response Information		Section Response Information	
Total Invited:	9283	Total Invited:	8
Total Respondents:	4546	Total Respondents:	6
Response Rate:	48.97%	Response Rate:	75.00%

Survey Description

Question Type	Number of Questions	Percent of Responses			
		Section	Course	1	2
Likert Scale	9	100%	100%	100%	100%
Open Entry	3	44%	40%	43%	49%

 Departments/Schools
 1 - Chemistry
 2 - School of Science & Engineering

Course Evaluation - Section Detail


 Departments/
Schools

1 - Chemistry
 2 - School of Science & Engineering

Results By Question

Questions	Count Percent						Average Points	Standard Deviation		
	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Not Applicable	Section	Course	1	2
1. I have gained a good understanding of this subject matter.	2 33%	3 50%	1 17%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	4.17 0.75	4.19 0.66	3.61 1.07	3.97 1.05
2. The instructor was fair in grading me.	3 50%	3 50%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	4.50 0.55	4.31 0.48	3.84 1.03	4.15 0.98
3. The topics in this course are organized in a coherent manner.	1 17%	4 67%	0 0%	0 0%	1 17%	0 0%	3.67 1.37	3.63 1.20	3.77 1.00	4.07 1.02
4. The instructor is available at office hours or by appointment for help or discussion.	3 50%	3 50%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	4.50 0.55	4.46 0.52	3.78 1.03	4.14 0.94
5. The instructor treats all students with respect.	3 50%	3 50%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	4.50 0.55	4.44 0.51	4.19 0.86	4.39 0.85
6. This course has reinforced or assisted me in improving my writing and/or oral skills.	1 17%	5 83%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	4.17 0.41	4.20 0.41	3.21 1.15	3.37 1.16
Questions	Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor		Section	Course	1	2
7. Overall, how would you rate this course?	1 17%	4 67%	0 0%	0 0%	1 17%		3.67 1.37	3.88 1.20	3.49 1.18	3.88 1.15
8. Overall, how would you rate this instructor?	4 67%	2 33%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%		4.67 0.52	4.63 0.50	3.57 1.24	4.03 1.18
Questions	0-3	3-6	6-9	9-12	12-15	more than 15	Section	Course	1	2
9. How many hours each week do you spend on this course, not including class time, or regularly scheduled laboratory or performance activity time?	2 33%	3 50%	0 0%	1 17%	0 0%	0 0%				

Course Evaluation - Section Detail

 Departments/
Schools

1 - Chemistry
 2 - School of Science &
 Engineering

Results By Tag

Associated Questions	Count Percent						Average Points Standard Deviation				
	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Not Applicable	Section	Course	1	2	3
Tag Values											
<i>Associated Questions</i>											
Course	4	12	1	0	1	0	4.00	4.00	3.58	3.86	3.86
1. I have gained a good understanding of this subject matter.	22%	67%	6%	0%	6%	0%	0.91	0.86	1.08	1.10	1.10
3. The topics in this course are organized in a coherent manner.											
6. This course has reinforced or assisted me in improving my writing and/or oral skills.											
Instructor	9	9	0	0	0	0	4.50	4.40	3.95	4.23	4.23
2. The instructor was fair in grading me.	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.51	0.50	0.99	0.93	0.93
4. The instructor is available at office hours or by appointment for help or discussion.											
5. The instructor treats all students with respect.											
Tag Values											
<i>Associated Questions</i>											
Course	1	4	0	0	1		3.67	3.88	3.49	3.88	3.88
7. Overall, how would you rate this course?	17%	67%	0%	0%	17%		1.37	1.20	1.18	1.15	1.15
Instructor	4	2	0	0	0		4.67	4.63	3.57	4.03	4.03
8. Overall, how would you rate this instructor?	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%		0.52	0.50	1.24	1.18	1.18

Open-Ended Questions

Respondent	Question Text
	10. What were the strongest aspects of this course or instructor?
238426	The lab material clarified lecture topics. We were exposed to advanced synthetic techniques that most of the class hadn't seen before. The TA was very helpful at explaining the theory behind our experiments and answering additional questions.
238427	Dr. Donahue gave excellent introductions to the labs.
239589	Sky was a great TA. It was clear that all of the instructors were putting a great deal of effort into ensuring that we have a solid understanding of inorganic chemistry.
	11. What were the weakest aspects of this course or instructor?
238426	Early on, we did some experiments that required information that we hadn't yet covered in the class. However, this is difficult to avoid due to the nature of the subject .
238427	The course was organized very poorly.
241847	It was difficult to understand some of the labs when they were done before the material was covered in the class. Also - It does not help the students at all, in fact I would say it hurts them, when the professor changes in the middle of a semester.
	12. Please make any additional comments you wish. For example, you may choose to elaborate on one or more of your previous responses.
238426	I think that this lab course was a good supplement to the lecture section. Dr. Donahue, Dr. Schmehl, and Sky Ferrera were clear about expectations and made themselves available to answer additional questions.
238427	I really am unhappy that the lab was a requirement for the class, even though it was the most poorly organized lab ever. Sky was a big help, though.