

Course Evaluation - Section Detail

Report Sections

- Report Overview
- Response Data
- Survey Description
- Results By Question
- Large Answer Set Results
- Results by Tag
- Open-Ended Questions

Report Overview


Deployment:	School of Science and Engineering Fall 2011	Section:	[CHEM-3215-41FA11-CE-DONAHUE] INORGANIC CHEMISTRY LAB
Course:	[CHEM-3215] INORGANIC CHEMISTRY LAB	Instructor:	DONAHUE, JAMES

Response Data


Deployment Response Information		Section Response Information	
Total Invited:	8736	Total Invited:	10
Total Respondents:	4205	Total Respondents:	5
Response Rate:	48.13%	Response Rate:	50.00%

Survey Description

Question Type	Number of Questions	Percent of Responses			
		Section	Course	1	2
Open Entry	3	33%	42%	47%	49%
Likert Scale	9	100%	100%	100%	100%

 Departments/Schools
 1 - Chemistry
 2 - School of Science & Engineering


Course Evaluation - Section Detail

 Departments/
Schools
 1 - Chemistry
 2 - School of Science & Engineering

Results By Question

Questions	Count Percent						Average Points Standard Deviation			
	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Not Applicable	Section	Course	1	2
1. I have gained a good understanding of this subject matter.	1 20%	4 80%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	4.20 0.45	4.00 0.93	3.49 1.09	3.88 1.07
2. The instructor was fair in grading me.	1 20%	4 80%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	4.20 0.45	4.38 0.52	3.62 1.11	4.03 1.06
3. The topics in this course are organized in a coherent manner.	0 0%	4 80%	0 0%	1 20%	0 0%	0 0%	3.60 0.89	3.63 1.06	3.64 1.05	3.98 1.09
4. The instructor is available at office hours or by appointment for help or discussion.	2 40%	3 60%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	4.40 0.55	4.38 0.74	3.90 0.99	4.14 0.92
5. The instructor treats all students with respect.	2 40%	3 60%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	4.40 0.55	4.50 0.53	4.18 0.90	4.39 0.84
6. This course has reinforced or assisted me in improving my writing and/or oral skills.	1 20%	4 80%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	4.20 0.45	4.25 0.46	3.16 1.11	3.31 1.15
Questions	Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor		Section	Course	1	2
7. Overall, how would you rate this course?	2 40%	3 60%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%		4.40 0.55	4.38 0.74	3.35 1.14	3.77 1.16
8. Overall, how would you rate this instructor?	3 60%	2 40%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%		4.60 0.55	4.63 0.52	3.49 1.19	3.91 1.22
Questions	0-3	3-6	6-9	9-12	12-15	more than 15	Section	Course	1	2
9. How many hours each week do you spend on this course, not including class time, or regularly scheduled laboratory or performance activity time?	0 0%	4 80%	1 20%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%				

Course Evaluation - Section Detail

 Departments/
Schools
 1 - Chemistry
 2 - School of Science &
Engineering

Results By Tag

Associated Questions	Count Percent						Average Points Standard Deviation		1	2	3
	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Not Applicable	Section	Course			
Course											
1. I have gained a good understanding of this subject matter.	2	12	0	1	0	0	4.00	3.96	3.47	3.78	3.78
3. The topics in this course are organized in a coherent manner.	13%	80%	0%	7%	0%	0%	0.65	0.86	1.10	1.13	1.13
6. This course has reinforced or assisted me in improving my writing and/or oral skills.											
Instructor											
2. The instructor was fair in grading me.	5	10	0	0	0	0	4.33	4.42	3.90	4.19	4.19
4. The instructor is available at office hours or by appointment for help or discussion.	33%	67%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.49	0.58	1.03	0.96	0.96
5. The instructor treats all students with respect.											
Student Interest											
1. I have gained a good understanding of this subject matter.	6	18	0	1	0	0	4.16	4.18	3.76	4.08	4.08
2. The instructor was fair in grading me.	24%	72%	0%	4%	0%	0%	0.62	0.81	1.06	1.02	1.02
3. The topics in this course are organized in a coherent manner.											
4. The instructor is available at office hours or by appointment for help or discussion.											
5. The instructor treats all students with respect.											
Tag Values											
Associated Questions	Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor		Section	Course	1	2	3
Course											
7. Overall, how would you rate this course?	2	3	0	0	0		4.40	4.38	3.35	3.77	3.77
	40%	60%	0%	0%	0%		0.55	0.74	1.14	1.16	1.16
Instructor											
8. Overall, how would you rate this instructor?	3	2	0	0	0		4.60	4.63	3.49	3.91	3.91
	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%		0.55	0.52	1.19	1.22	1.22
Student Interest											
7. Overall, how would you rate this course?	5	5	0	0	0		4.50	4.50	3.42	3.84	3.84
	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%		0.53	0.63	1.17	1.20	1.19
8. Overall, how would you rate this instructor?											
Tag Values											
Associated Questions	0-3	3-6	6-9	9-12	12-15	more than 15	Section	Course	1	2	3
Student Interest											
9. How many hours each week do you spend on this course, not including class time, or regularly scheduled laboratory or	0	4	1	0	0	0					
	0%	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%					

Course Evaluation - Section Detail

Tag Values	Count Percent						Average Points Standard Deviation				
	0-3	3-6	6-9	9-12	12-15	more than 15	Section	Course	1	2	3
<i>Associated Questions</i> <i>performance activity time?</i>											

Open-Ended Questions

Respondent	Question Text
	10. What were the strongest aspects of this course or instructor?
345571	This is the best lab I have had at Tulane. The TA is helpful, and the experiments are relevant and often fun. This is the first lab where I have gotten a true sense of what is required for a lab notebook and writeup for a scientific laboratory.
351312	Labs never took too long. Professor was always available for help, and the TA was extremely knowledgeable and helpful.
	11. What were the weakest aspects of this course or instructor?
345571	This lab is extremely time consuming for a one credit course.
351312	Some labs covered information not yet learned from the lecture, making writing lab reports extremely difficult.
	12. Please make any additional comments you wish. For example, you may choose to elaborate on one or more of your previous responses.
351312	This lab definitely helped me improve my scientific writing and note taking abilities.